2007 Annual Report

Harlem Industrial Park Conservation Area



Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d) (Industrial Jobs Recovery Act)

JUNE 30, 2008



Ernst & Young LLPSears Tower233 South Wacker DriveChicago, Illinois 60606-6301

Phone: (312) 879-2000 www.ey.com

June 30, 2008

Mr. Arnold L. Randall Commissioner Department of Planning and Development 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Commissioner:

Enclosed is the annual report for the Harlem Industrial Park Conservation Redevelopment Project Area, which we compiled at the direction of the Department of Planning and Development pursuant to Section 5(d) of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1 et seq.), as amended. The contents are based on information provided to us by Chicago Departments of Planning and Development, Finance, and Law. We have not audited, verified, or applied agreed upon accounting and testing procedures to the data contained in this report. Therefore, we express no opinion on its accuracy or completeness.

It has been a pleasure to work with representatives from the Department of Planning and Development and other City Departments.

Very truly yours,

Ernst & Young LLP

Ernst + Young LLP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ANNUAL REPORT – HARLEM INDUSTRIAL PARK CONSERVATION AREA IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION (d) OF 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22.

		PAGE
T E	ITER TO STATE COMPTROLLER	1
LE.	TER TO STATE COMPTROLLER	1
1)	DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION	2
2)	AUDITED FINANCIALS	3
3)	MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION	4
4)	OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL	5
5)	ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND	6
6)	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	7
7)	STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	8
8)	DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY	12
9)	ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE	13
10)	CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORT	14
11)	GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP	15



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Planning and Development

Arnold L. Randall Commissioner

City Hall, Room 1000 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 312 744-4190 312 744-2271 (FAX) 312 744-2578 (TTY)

http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2008

The Honorable Daniel Hynes Comptroller State of Illinois Office of the Comptroller 201 Capitol Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Comptroller Hynes:

We have compiled the attached information for the Harlem Industrial Park Conservation Redevelopment Project Area (Report) pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d).

Sincerely,

Arnold L. Randall Commissioner





(1) DATE OF DESIGNATION AND TERMINATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d)(1.5)

The Project Area was designated on March 14, 2007. The Project Area may be terminated no later than March 14, 2030.

(2) AUDITED FINANCIALS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d)(2)

During 2007, no financial activity or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 occurred in the Project Area. Therefore, no audited statements were prepared pertaining to the Special Tax Allocation Fund for the Project Area.

(3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d)(3)

Please see attached.

STATE OF ILLINOIS)	
)
COUNTY OF COOK)

CERTIFICATION

TO:

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Peter C. Nicholson, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Timothy Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

I, RICHARD M. DALEY, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.6-22(d)(3) of the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1 et seq, (the "Law") with regard to the Harlem Industrial Park Conservation Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

- 1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.
- 2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2007, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Law, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.
- 3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.
 - 4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 30th day of June, 2008.

Richard M. Daley, Mayor

City of Chicago, Illinois

(4) OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d)(4)

Please see attached.



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Law

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

City Hall, Room 600
121 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 744-0200
(312) 744-8538 (FAX)
(312) 744-2963 (TTY)
http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2008

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Peter C. Nicholson, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Timothy Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO
Chicago Park District
541 North Fairbanks
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Re: Harlem Industrial Park Conservation Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City"). In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.6-22(d)(4) of the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1 et seq, (the "Law"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.6-22(d) of the Law for the Redevelopment Project Area.





Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City familiar with the requirements of the Law have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Law. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Housing, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management, have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Law in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Law.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.6-22(d)(9) of the Law and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Law in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Law in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

mara S. Georges

SCHEDULE 1

(Exception Schedule)

- (X) No Exceptions
- () Note the following Exceptions:

(5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d)(5)

During 2007, there was no financial activity in the Special Tax Allocation Fund.

(6) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d)(6)

During 2007, the City did not purchase any property in the Project Area.

(7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d)(7)

- (A) Projects implemented in the preceding fiscal year.
- **(B)** A description of the redevelopment activities undertaken.
- **(C)** Agreements entered into by the City with regard to disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.
- **(D)** Additional information on the use of all Funds received by the Project Area and steps taken by the City to achieve the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
- (E) Information on contracts that the City's consultants have entered into with parties that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.
- **(F)** Joint Review Board reports submitted to the City.
- (G) Project-by-project review of public and private investment undertaken from 11/1/99 to 12/31/07, and of such investments expected to be undertaken in year 2008; also, a project-by-project ratio of private investment to public investment from 11/1/99 to 12/31/07, and an estimated ratio of such investments as of the completion of each project and as estimated to the completion of the redevelopment project.

SEE TABLES AND/OR DISCUSSIONS ON FOLLOWING PAGES.

(7)(A) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d)(7)(A)

During 2007, no projects were implemented.

(7)(B) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d)(7)(B)

Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the year 2007, if any, have been made pursuant to i) the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area, and ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth on Table 5 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

(7)(C) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d)(7)(C)

During 2007, no agreements were entered into with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.

(7)(D) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d)(7)(D)

The Project Area has not yet received any increment.

(7)(E) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d)(7)(E)

During 2007, no contracts were entered into by the City's tax increment advisors or consultants with entities or persons that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.

(7)(F) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d)(7)(F)

Joint Review Board Reports were submitted to the City. See attached.

(7)(G) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d)(7)(G)

Since November 1, 1999, no public investment was undertaken in the Project Area. As of December 31, 2007, no public investment is estimated to be undertaken for 2008.

CITY OF CHICAGO JOINT REVIEW BOARD

RE: Harlem Industrial Park Conservation Area TIF Redevelopment

Report of proceedings of a hearing before the City of Chicago, Joint Review

Board held on November 3, 2006, at 10:00 a.m.

City Hall, Room 1003A, Conference Room,

Chicago, Illinois, and presided over by

Ms. Susan Marek.

PRESENT:

MS. SUSAN MAREK, Chairman

MR. ERIC REESE

.MR. JOHN McCORMICK

MR. KEN GOTSCH

MR. IRMA CURIEL, Public Member

MR. PETER SKOSEY

REPORTED BY: Accurate Reporting Service

200 N. LaSalle Street

Chicago, Illinois

By: Jack Artstein, C.S.R.

- MS. MAREK: We're going to start the
- 2 meeting of the Harlem Industrial Park
- 3 Conservation Area TIF Redevelopment Joint
- 4 Review Board Meeting.
- For the record, my name is Susan
- 6 Marek, I'm a representative of Chicago Board
- 7 of Education, which under Section 11-74.7-5
- 8 of the Tax Increment Allocation
- 9 Redevelopment Act as one of the statutorily
- 10 designated members of the Joint Review
- 11 Board. Until election of a chairperson I
- 12 will moderate the Joint Review Board
- 13 Meeting.
- 14 This meeting is to review the
- 15 Harlem IJRL. The date of this meeting was
- announced at and set by the Community
- 17 Development Commission of the City of
- 18 Chicago at its meeting October 10th, 2006.
- 19 Notice of this Joint Review Board was also
- 20 provided by certified mail to each of the
- 21 taxing district's represented on the board
- 22 which includes the Chicago Board of
- 23 Education, the Chicago Community Colleges,
- District 508, the Chicago Park District,

```
1 Cook County, and the City of Chicago and the
```

- 2 public member. Public notice of this meeting
- was also posted as of November, Wednesday,
- 4 November 1st, 2006 in various locations
- 5 throughout City Hall.
- 6 Our first order of business is to
- 7 select a chairperson for this Joint Review
- 8 Board. Are there any nominations?
- 9 MR. GOTSCH: I'd like to nominate
- 10 Susan Marek of the Chicago Public Schools.
- MS. MAREK: Thank you. Is there a
- 12 second?
- MR. SKOSEY: I'd like to second that
- 14 nomination.
- MS. MAREK: Thank you.
- MR. SKOSEY: I think she's done a
- fine job in the past and has a very good
- 18 track record of chairing these meetings.
- MS. MAREK: This is my first, this is
- 20 my second one. Excellent. Are there any
- 21 other nominations? Let the record reflect
- there are no other nominations. All in favor
- of the nomination please vote by saying
- 24 "aye'.

```
1 (Chorus of ayes.)
```

- MS. MAREK: All opposed please vote
- 3 by saying "no'. Let the record reflect that
- 4 Susan Marek has been elected as chairperson
- 5 and will now serve as the chairperson for the
- 6 remainder of the meeting.
- 7 As I mentioned, at this meeting
- 8 we will be reviewing a plan for the proposed
- 9 Harlem IJRL proposed by the City of Chicago.
- 10 Staff of the City's Department of Planning
- 11 and Development and Law and other
- 12 departments have reviewed this plan
- amendment which was introduced to the City's
- 14 Community Development Commission on October
- 15 10th, 2006.
- We will listen to a presentation
- by the consultant on the plan. Following the
- 18 presentation we can address any questions
- 19 that the members might have for the
- 20 consultant or city staff.
- 21 An amendment to the TIF Act
- requires us to base our recommendation to
- approve or disapprove the proposed Harlem
- 24 IJRL on the basis of the area and the plan,

. 5

```
1 satisfying the plan requirements, the
```

- 2 eligibility criteria defined in the TIF Act
- 3 and objectives of the TIF Act. If the board
- 4 approves the plan the board will then issue
- 5 an advisory non-biding recommendation by the
- 6 vote of the majority of those members present
- 7 and voting.
- 8 Such recommendation shall be
- 9 submitted to the city within 30 days after
- 10 the Board Meeting. Failure to submit such
- 11 recommendation shall be deemed to constitute
- 12 approval by the board. If the board
- disapproves the plan, the board must issue a
- written report describing why the plan and
- area failed to meet one or more of the
- objectives of the TIF Act in both the plan
- 17 requirements and the eligibility criteria of
- 18 the TIF Act.
- The city will also, will then
- 20 have 30 days to resubmit a revised plan. The
- 21 board and the city must also confer during
- this time to try to resolve the issues that
- 23 led to the board's disapproval. If such
- issues cannot be resolved or if the revised

```
1 plan is disapproved, the city may proceed
```

- with the plan, but the plan can be approved
- only with a 3/5 vote of the City Counsel,
- 4 excluding positions of members that are
- 5 vacant and those members that are ineligible
- to vote because of conflicts of interest.
- 7 We are now ready to have a
- 8 presentation by Teska Associates.
- 9 MR. ORTEGA: Hello again. What's up
- 10 for consideration today is slightly
- 11 different than the TIF that we just had in
- 12 the past. This is a TIF proposed under the
- 13 Industrial Job Recovery Law. The purpose of
- 14 this TIF is more oriented, is oriented
- towards the preservation of the industrial
- 16 base within the city. Rather than the other
- 17 conservation or blighted area TIF's where
- the physical conditions necessitate the city
- 19 to step in and create a district in which it
- 20 can occur to private developers to reinvest
- in the area, the purpose of this TIF is to
- 22 encourage specific redevelopment in the area
- for the purpose, express purpose of
- 24 maintaining a industrial job's base within

```
1 the city.
```

- So with that in mind, the
- 3 eligibility criteria for such a TIF is
- 4 different. And actually, just to
- 5 reemphasize the difference between these
- 6 TIF's, the redevelopment plan in and of
- 7 itself is also slightly different. Because
- 8 the intent of this TIF is to make sure that
- 9 as the redevelopment occurs, the
- 10 redevelopment should be, occur on as --
- 11 rather than a piece meal basis.
- This ensures that the land use,
- access and circulation patters, the public
- 14 services and then the actual urban design are
- both functional and integrated into the
- 16 existing area. So that all lends itself to
- 17 creating a jobs market that is both
- 18 functional and viable. And it's also
- intended to make sure that this TIF leads to
- 20 ensuring that the factors leading to
- 21 unemployment and underutilization of
- industrial land are eliminated. Basically
- we want to ensure that this TIF is also
- leading towards reducing the unemployment

```
within the city by creating this job space.
```

- So, with regard to eligibility,
- 3 the findings more for this TIF are regards to
- 4 the existing conditions, making sure that
- 5 the area that's proposed is viable for
- 6 industrial use, the first characteristic
- 7 being zoning. We want to make sure that it's
- 8 zoned appropriately for the TIF -- for an
- 9 industrial area. And the entire area is
- 10 zoned, the entire area we are talking about
- is zoned for industrial use.
- And just to refresh your memory,
- what we're talking about is an area bound by
- 14 Harlem Avenue on the west, 63rd Street on the
- 15 south, Oak Park Avenue as a general east
- 16 border and then there's a railroad right of
- 17 way just to the south of 59th Street, which
- is the northern border, but we are talking
- about several, a handful of parcels on the
- north side of, excuse me, on the south side
- 21 of 59.
- So, with regard, once again with
- regard to the eligibility, some of the other
- 24 characteristics are the suitability of the

```
land for industrial use, and you take a look
```

- 2 at the accessibility of the area for
- 3 industrial, industrial traffic based on the
- 4 ready access from the major highways of
- 5 Harlem Avenue and 63rd, it is definitely
- 6 suitable for industrial use.
- 7 Also given the fact that within
- 8 the area, and once again, this is a, just to
- 9 point out, this is 151 acres, currently there
- 10 are 92 acres that are utilized in some
- 11 capacity, and -- to pass as industrial use,
- but there are also 22 vacant acres on this
- 13 site. So that's potential for making sure
- 14 that the job space is both viable and can be
- expanded upon to ensure that this is
- 16 maintained.
- In addition to that, one of the
- other characteristics you have to take a look
- 19 at for eligibility is the labor statistics,
- to making sure that this is a, what is deemed
- 21 as a labor surplus municipality, basically
- 22 you look at the unemployment rate of the
- 23 municipality in comparison to the rest of the
- state to see if, in fact, there is a strong

```
1 need for the type of jobs that could be
```

- 2 created.
- And in fact, when you take a look
- 4 in the past five years for the unemployment
- 5 rates for Chicago versus the rest of the
- 6 State of Illinois, for the past five years
- 7 there's a four year average of a 1.3
- 8 percentage point difference. While the
- 9 state has been averaging 6.3 percent
- unemployment rate, the city averages 7.6 on
- 11 average. The greatest difference, it is a
- range from 1.2 to 1.7 percentage points, but
- it definitely qualifies as a, what's deemed
- 14 by this statute as a labor surplus
- 15 municipality.
- MR. SKOSEY: Question?
- MR. ORTEGA: Yeah.
- MR. SKOSEY: How many of those
- businesses are existing, actually are
- 20 occupied now?
- MR. ORTEGA: There are, I want to say
- 22 51 businesses.
- MR. SKOSEY: Generally speaking they
- occupy approximately how much of the site, 50

- 1 percent of the site? I'm just trying to get
- 2 a sense of how much of that 20 acres which
- 3 you said is vacant, how much left is
- 4 available for redevelopment.MR. ORTEGA:
- 5 When you take a look at the total land area
- of what we're talking about, there's
- 7 currently about 60 percent of the area is
- 8 utilized for industry currently. And, with
- 9 regard to the vacant area, you're looking at
- 10 15 percent of the total area of the land
- 11 within the project area is vacant.
- MR. SKOSEY: So 60 plus 15 is 75,
- there's is 25 percent that's left?
- MR. ORTEGA: Being utilized for,
- actually one of the primary landings in the
- area is also the railroad spur, this is a,
- 17 excuse me, railroad line, this railroad
- 18 right of way and then also the right of way
- 19 that runs north/south. And then also the
- other percentages include the road right of
- 21 ways, the road right of ways take up another
- 22 13 percent.
- MR. SKOSEY: Okay.
- MR. ORTEGA: And another thing just

```
1 to point out is that the intent of the TIF,
```

- while it is to hopefully spur new investment
- 3 into the project area, it's also intended to
- 4 help the existing users with reinvestment in
- 5 the project area. So rather than a condition
- 6 where the market is trying to, trying to not
- 7 force, but make the idea of selling and
- 8 moving to a different location more
- 9 attractive to them, the intent of the TIF is
- 10 to encourage the current businesses to
- 11 remain and to reinvest. And if you have any
- 12 other questions during all this, please feel
- 13 free.
- Okay. One other eligibility --
- we had considered was the equalized assessed
- value of this area as a proportion of the
- 17 rest of the city. Basically they want to
- 18 make sure that you maintain a certain level
- of, or that you're not trying to capture too
- 20 much of the current EAV of the property
- 21 within this TIF, so basically you have to
- 22 make sure that less than two percent of your
- 23 total EAV is not within this TIF. And it
- turns out that the EAV of this property

```
1 represents .08 percent of the entire city,
```

- 2 Chicago. So therefore we are meeting that
- 3 eligibility criteria.
- 4 And then finally, the
- 5 eligibility criteria, one of the eligibility
- 6 criteria is that there must be a required
- 7 plan that goes with the marketing of the area
- 8 and creates a plan for moving forward and
- 9 ensuring that the goals of this project are
- 10 met. And so we have included that as part of
- 11 the support, and that is included in the
- 12 plan.
- And as I mentioned with regards
- 14 to the Industrial Job Recovery Law, the
- intent is to create a viable and continued
- industrial jobs base, and for that reason the
- future landings of the area is for industrial
- 18 users throughout the project area except for
- the road right of ways, obviously, and also
- 20 railroad right of ways. The railroad, access
- 21 to the rail, is a very attractive element for
- future redevelopment, so you want to take --
- so that's, that's intended to remain as well.
- And, that's all I have. Once

```
1 again if you have any questions, feel free.
```

- MS. MAREK: Is this contiguous to any
- 3 other TIF's?
- 4 MR. ORTEGA: No, I don't think so.
- 5 MR. SKOSEY: How long has it been a
- 6 planned manufacturing district?
- 7 MR. ORTEGA: Well, we started this
- 8 2005, so only about six months before that --
- 9 2004, yea, maybe a year and a half.
- MR. SKOSEY: And have there been any
- 11 new businesses coming into this area at all
- in the last few years?
- MR. ORTEGA: I don't know about the
- 14 last few years, I do know there were some
- 15 users in the past that did provide some
- 16 reinvestment, but actually I, as far as I
- 17 heard they wanted to do a much more extensive
- 18 reinvestment but they were limited as to what
- 19 they could do just because of their financial
- situation. So they did, while they did
- 21 provide some reinvestment, it wasn't to a
- level that they wanted to just because of --
- MR. SKOSEY: Are the -- that are
- 24 currently vacant ready for building, or do

```
they require any environmental cleanups or -
```

- 2 -
- MR. ORTEGA: We did not get into the
- 4 specifics of individual sites for the
- 5 redevelopment. But I believe that's one,
- 6 that is one of the eligibility, eligible
- 7 project costs for an under -- TIF. So
- 8 obviously an environmental remediation while
- 9 necessary, can sometimes skew the financial
- 10 attractiveness in the open market for not
- 11 being too attractive -- TIF is --
- MR. SKOSEY: And I'm sure there's a
- 13 project budget?
- MR. ORTEGA: Yes, it is --
- MR. GOTSCH: It's Page 25.
- MR. SKOSEY: Is there one particular
- 17 project or thing that we're looking to
- 18 accomplish with this, just generally to make
- 19 the area more --
- MR. ORTEGA: Basically establishing
- 21 the PMD was the first step in making this,
- 22 ensuring that the business owners had a tool
- at their side, and the PMD, you know, ensures
- that the, the zoning doesn't lend itself to

```
being easily transferred into some other non
```

- 2 compatible land use such as residential or
- 3 commercial. And then, this is the next step
- 4 to providing that tool for them as an option
- 5 for reinvestment.
- 6 MR. SKOSEY: I have no further
- 7 questions.
- 8 MS. MAREK: Okay. Any other
- 9 questions? If there are no further questions
- 10 I will entertain a motion that this Joint
- 11 Review Board find that the proposed Harlem
- 12 IJRL Project Area satisfies the
- 13 redevelopment plan requirements under the
- 14 TIF Act, the eligibility criteria defined in
- the TIF Act and the objectives of the TIF Act
- and that based on such findings, approve such
- 17 proposed plan under the TIF Act. Is there a
- 18 motion?
- MR. SKOSEY: So moved.
- MS. MAREK: Is there a second?
- MR. GOTSCH: Second.
- MS. MAREK: Is there any further
- 23 discussion? If not, all in favor please vote
- 24 by saying "aye'.

1	(Chorus of ayes.)
2	MS. MAREK: All opposed please vote
3	by saying "no'.
4	Let the record reflect that the
5	Joint Review Board's approval of the
6	proposed Harlem IJRL under the TIF Act.
7	Is there a motion to adjourn?
8	MR. SKOSEY: Move to adjourn.
9	MS. MAREK: Second?
10	MR. GOTSCH: Second.
11	MS. MAREK: All in favor.
12	(Chorus of ayes.)
13	(Whereupon the meeting adjourned
14	at 10:52 a.m.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
2 2	
23	
2 4	

STATE OF ILLINOIS) , SS. COUNTY OF C O O K)

I, JACK ARTSTEIN depose and

say that I am a verbatim reporter doing business in the County of Cook and City of Chicago; that I caused to be transcribed the proceedings heretofore identified and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the aforesaid hearing.

JACK ARTSTEIN

SUBSCRI	IBED AND	SWORN	TO		
BEFORE	ME THIS	/(M/	DAY	OF
	NOVEME	36 <u>L</u>		A.D.	20 <u>06</u>

NOTARY PUBLIC

OFFICIAL BEAL

ROMAND LEGISLAND AR

NOTING PRINCIP - BRINGS OF BLUNOSS

MY COMMISSION EXPRESS: 10-11-08

(8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d)(8)(A)

During 2007, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

(9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d)(8)(B)

During 2007, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

(10) CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORTS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22(d)(9)

During 2007, there were no tax increment expenditures or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 within the Project Area. Therefore, no compliance statement was prepared.

(11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

The Harlem Industrial Park Conservation Area is generally bounded by 63rd Street on the south, Oak Park Avenue on the east, 59th Street on the north, and Harlem Avenue on the west. The map below illustrates the location and general boundaries of the Project Area. For precise boundaries, please consult the legal description in the Redevelopment Plan.

